1	JACKLIN CHOU LEM (Cal. Bar No. 255293)	
2	MAY LEE HEYE (Cal. Bar No. 209366) HOWARD J. PARKER (Wash. Bar No. 07233)	
3	KELSEY C. LINNETT (Cal. Bar No. 274547) Antitrust Division	
4	U.S. Department of Justice 450 Golden Gate Avenue Box 36046, Room 10-0101 San Francisco, CA 94102-3478	
5		
6	Tel: (415) 436-6660; Fax: (415) 436-6687 jacklin.lem@usdoj.gov	
7	Attorneys for the United States	
8		
9	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
10	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
11	SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION	
12		
13	UNITED STATES OF AMERICA	) No. CR 11-0488 RS
14		)   [ <del>PROPOSE</del> D] ORDER
15	v.	EXCLUDING TIME FROM
16	EAGLE EYES TRAFFIC INDUSTRIAL CO., LTD.;	SEPTEMBER 24, 2012 TO OCTOBER 29, 2012
17	E-LITE AUTOMOTIVE, INC.; HOMY HONG-MING HSU; and	ý )
18	YU-CHU LIN, aka David Lin,	)
19		)
20	Defendants.	)
21		)
22	The defendant Homy Hong-Ming Hsu, represented by Becky Walker James, and the	
23	defendants Eagle Eyes Traffic Industrial Co., Ltd. ("Eagle Eyes") and E-Lite Automotive, Inc.	
24	("E-Lite"), represented by Kenneth Julian, appeared before Judge Richard Seeborg on July 24,	
25	2012 and requested a trial continuance to allow for further time to prepare for trial. At the	
26	conclusion of the hearing, the Court requested letters from the parties addressing such a possible	
27	continuance, among other things. Thereafter, the Court on July 27, 2012 continued the trial date	
28	from September 24, 2012 to October 29, 2012.	
	-1-	
	[PROPOSED] ORDER EXCLUDING TIME CR 11-0488 RS	

## Case 3:11-cr-00488-RS Document 163 Filed 07/31/12 Page 2 of 2

The government and the defendants Mr. Hsu, Eagle Eyes, and E-Lite have made a joint request to exclude time under the Speedy Trial Act between September 24, 2012 and October 29, 2012, for effective preparation of counsel to allow the parties more time to meet and confer regarding stipulated translations and for the defendants to review discovery and to conduct necessary investigation to prepare for trial.

Based upon the representation of counsel and for good cause shown, the Court finds that failing to exclude the time between September 24, 2012 and October 29, 2012 would unreasonably deny the defendants and counsel the reasonable time necessary for effective preparation, taking into account the exercise of due diligence. 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(7)(B).

The Court further finds that the ends of justice served by excluding the time between September 24, 2012 and October 29, 2012 from computation under the Speedy Trial Act outweigh the best interests of the public and the defendant in a speedy trial. 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(7)(A).

Therefore, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that the time between September 24, 2012 and October 29, 2012 shall be excluded from computation under the Speedy Trial Act. 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(7)(A) and (B).

DATED: 7/31 , 2012

HONORABLE RICHARD SEBORG United States District Court Judge